



# Exploiting z/OS – Tales From the MVS Survey

Cheryl Watson
Watson & Walker, Inc.
www.watsonwalker.com

February 8, 2013 Session 12653







#### **Agenda**

- MVS Program Survey
- Top 5 Functions That Provided the Most Benefit
- Some Important Functions
   That Aren't Being
   Implemented Fast Enough
- Major Misconceptions







#### **MVS Survey**

- In July 2012, the MVS program decided to conduct an online survey to determine how and whether installations were exploiting the enhancements in each z/OS release.
- The results were surprising, at the very least.
- The purpose of this session to explore those results.
- I'll be providing my personal recommendations in many cases.
- You can see the full results by going to <u>www.share.org/mvs</u> and signing up to be a member; then look at the Forum for MVS Program Announcements.





### 1. Which is your next release?

1. Wh	ich of	the followin	g best represents the next release upgrade that you plan to perform?	
	9	11.84%	z/OS 1.13 to z/OS 2.2	11.84%
	20	26.32%	z/OS 1.13 to z/OS 2.1	26.32%
	1	1.32%	z/OS 1.12 to z/OS 2.2	1.32%
	7	9.21%	z/OS 1.12 to z/OS 2.1	9.21%
	20	26.32%	z/OS 1.12 to z/OS 1.13	26.32%
	10	13.16%	z/OS 1.11 to z/OS 1.13	13.16%
	2	2.63%	z/OS 1.11 to z/OS 1.12	2.63%
	2	2.63%	z/OS 1.10 to z/OS 1.12	2.63%
	2	2.63%	No plans to upgrade	2.63%
	3	3.95%	Don't know	3.95%
	===	===		
	76		Total Percentage on 1.12 or higher:	75.01%





#### 1. Next Release?

- 38% now on z/OS 1.13 planning to upgrade to z/OS 2
- 11% now on z/OS 1.12 planning to upgrade to z/OS 2
- 26% now on z/OS 1.12, planning to upgrade to 1.13
- 25% are on older releases or not planning to upgrade
- 74% plan on upgrade in 2012 or 2013
- Recommendation:
  - If it were me, I wouldn't be in the 11% going from z/OS 1.12 to z/OS 2





#### 2. What z/Architecture Server?

3. Wh	What z/Architecture server(s) do you have installed?						
	34	29%	z196	45%			
	15	13%	z114	20%			
	21	18%	z10 EC	28%			
	19	16%	z10 BC	25%			
	8	7%	z9 EC	11%			
	7	6%	z9 BC	9%			
	1	1%	z990	1%			
	6	5%	z890	8%			
	1	1%	z900	1%			
	3	3%	z800	4%			
	3	3%	Other, specify:	4%			
	===	===					
	118		Total				





#### 2. What z/Architecture Server?

- Note that this was before the zEC12
- 14% have machines that won't run z/OS 2, which requires a z9 or newer machine





- 1. Health Checker (21%)
  - 77% have implemented the IBM health checker
  - Primary complaint too many alerts; too little time
  - Primary misconception their systems are well run and Health Checker won't find anything of value
  - References -
    - IBM Redpaper <u>REDP-4590-01</u> Exploiting the IBM Health Checker for z/OS Infrastructure
    - SHARE SF Session 13118, Marna Walle, Introduction and Getting Started with the IBM Health Checker for z/OS
    - Website <u>www.ibm.com/systems/z/os/zos/hchecker/</u>
    - SA22-7994-13 IBM Health Checker User's Guide





- 1. Health Checker (cont.)
  - Benefit -
    - Detect problems early; avoid outages
    - Provide more stable, reliable, and available systems
    - Teaches Best Practices
  - My recommendation -
    - If too many alerts, then maybe you REALLY need HC!
    - Run on test or development system first and work through most of the alerts
    - EVERY site should implement this on all production systems
    - Implement APARs for new checks when they come out PSP bucket HCHECKER
    - Review checks on a yearly basis in case you've bypassed some that should be activated



- 2. HyperPAV (21%)
  - 55% have implemented HyperPAV
  - Primary complaint couldn't justify cost
  - Primary misconception non-IBM storage vendors don't support it (but they do – just ask)
  - References
    - ATS White Paper WP101175 DS8000 HyperPAV UCB and Alias Analysis Case Study
    - IBM Redbook <u>SG24-8886-02</u> *IBM System Storage DS8000* Architecture and Implementation
    - SHARE 2009 Denver session 2178, Anthony Mungal, On the Importance of I/O Parallelism, I/O Priority Structures and Partitioning in z/OS Environments



- 2. HyperPAV (cont.)
  - Benefits
    - Reduces number of PAV-aliases needed for each logical subsystem (LSS)
    - Reduces IOSQ time on volumes, especially on extended address volumes (EAVs)
    - Provides automatic configuration when workload changes
  - My recommendation
    - Ask your IBM rep to run a free HyperPAV study using your SMF 70-78 record
    - Consider sharing cost and justification with zLinux and z/VM LPARs





- 3. zIIPs/zAAPs (16%)
  - 76% have zIIPs; 25% have zAAPs; 29% planning on zAAP on zIIP facility
  - Primary reason for no plans not running DB2 or Java work
  - Primary misconception zIIPS/zAAPs are ONLY useful for DB2 or Java work
  - References
    - SHARE SF session 12446, Catherine Moxey, CICS and Java: How the JVM Server Transforms Java in CICS
    - Website <u>www.ibm.com/systems/z/hardware/features/ziip</u> and http://www.ibm.com/systems/z/hardware/features/zaap





- 3. zIIPs/zAAPs (cont.)
  - Benefits -
    - Lets work run on cheaper MIPS (e.g. \$51/MIPS vs \$1000/MIPS)
    - Reduces software costs more than enough to pay for the specialty processors
  - My recommendation
    - Start running zAAPs on zIIPs; zEC12 last model to support zAAPs; software now lets you use both for evaluation
    - If you don't have a zIIP now, re-evaluate why not; more applications can let you run on a zIIP (z/OSMF is one)
    - Look into vendor products that exploit zIIPs (e.g. SHARE SF session 12424, Russ Teubner of HostBridge Technologies, CICS Integration & Optimization: Tales from the Trenches)





- 4. zFS (12%)
  - 64% have migrated system files from HFS to zFS; 49% have migrated user files from HFS to zFS
  - Primary complaint performance problems, especially with a large number of entries in directory; vendors still ship HFS; HFS easier to use; can't migrate without an outage
  - References
    - Redbook <u>SG24-6580-05</u>, z/OS Distributed File Service zSeries File System Implementation z/OS V1R13 (Oct2012)
    - Redpaper <u>REDP-4328-00</u>, HFS to zFS Migration Tool
    - Redpaper <u>REDP-4769-00</u>, zFS Reorganization Tool





- 4. zFS (cont.)
  - References
    - SHARE SF sessions:
      - 13023, Scott Marcotte, Everything You Wanted to Know About zFS Sysplex Sharing
      - 12730/12731, Scott Marcotte, zFS Diagnosis 1 & 2
  - Benefits
    - HFS will stop being supported at some point in the future
    - Performance, error handling, and administration are greatly improved on z/OS 1.13
    - z/OS 2.1 provides a new file format to support very large directories (but even smaller directories see 33% improvement in directory updates)





- 4. zFS (cont.)
  - My recommendation
    - If you haven't migrated, wait until z/OS 2.1 to use new zFS file format
    - If you have migrated, be sure that you're getting the z/OS 1.13 improvements
    - If you have large directories (over 2,000 entries), don't migrate yet





- 5. HiperDispatch (11%)
  - 46% have HiperDispatch turned on
  - Primary complaint there are too many bugs; management is afraid
  - Primary misconceptions there are still bugs; it's not useful for single-book installation (all hogwash!)





- 5. HiperDispatch (cont.)
  - References
    - SHARE SF session 13101, Kathy Walsh, Configuring LPARs for Performance
    - Redbook <u>SG24-7853-00</u>, z/OS V1R12 Implementation
    - SHARE Anaheim session 11609, Horst Sinram, z/OS WLM Update for z/OS V1.13 and V1.12
    - ATS White Paper WP101229, Kathy Walsh & Steve Grabarits, z/OS: Planning Considerations for HiperDispatch Mode





- 5. HiperDispatch (cont.)
  - Benefits
    - Reduction in CPU time and improvement in response time
  - My recommendation
    - Turn HiperDispatch on unless told to turn it off by IBM (very few examples of this)
    - Why throw away CPU cycles? This is a no-brainer
    - Use the default of HD=YES in z/OS 1.13 on a z196 and newer machines





#### **Very Important Functions**

- zPCR
- z/OSMF
- **CPU MF**
- **ITSO Pubs**





#### 10. Have you used zPCR?

- 45% have used zPCR
- Primary complaint don't have confidence in it
- Primary misconception it's only for upgrading to new **CECs**
- References
  - Download from www.ibm.com/support/techdocs/atsmastr.nsf/WebIndex/PRS 1381
  - SHARE SF session 13097, John Burg, zPCR Capacity Sizing Lab – Part 1 of 2: Introduction and Overview





#### 10. Have you used zPCR?

- Benefits
  - It's free and keeps you from making capacity planning or configuration mistakes
  - It's the ONLY way you can estimate the impact of new hardware or hardware changes, such as the change in your LPAR configuration or use of specialty processors (zIIPs/zAAPs) – don't use MIPS tables for expectations
  - Can help you improve performance of your configuration
- My recommendation
  - EVERYBODY needs to install and learn to use this before making any type of configuration change
  - Turn on type 113 records as input to zPCR





- 24% have used z/OSMF
- Primary complaint takes too many resources; and "I have my own way of doing things"
- Primary misconception it's only for new sysprogs
- References
  - z/OSMF website
    - www.ibm.com/systems/z/os/zos/zosmf/
  - IBM z/OSMF User's Guide SA38-0652





- References (cont.)
  - SHARE SF sessions:
    - 13059, Anuja Deedwaniya, z/OSMF What is it? And why would I want it?
    - 13040, Doug Henry, Anuja Deedwaniya, Mary Anne Matyaz, z/OSMF User Experience
    - 13100, Juergen Baumann, Manage your Workloads and Performance with z/OSMF
    - 13082, Greg Daynes, New z/OSMF Software Management Capabilities





- References (cont.)
  - SHARE SF sessions:
    - 13052, Toshiba Burns-Johnson, Engaging Users and Reducing Complexity: z/OSMF Software Deployment Project Usability Discussion
    - 13061, Anuja Deedwaniya, z/OSMF Advanced Functionality
    - 13099, Juergen Baumann, Capacity Provisioning Update for z/OS
    - Several labs this week





- Benefits
  - Improves sysprog and performance analyst productivity
  - Provides easier training for new sysprogs
  - Implements "Best Practices"
  - Provides software management, which is a totally new feature unavailable through other techniques
  - Positions you for use of workflow scenarios to decrease the time to implement other features in z/OS 2.1





- My recommendation
  - Install this on your test or development system as soon as possible (caution – it might run as slow as molasses on a small LPAR, but just have patience and see the benefits)
  - For small production LPARs, wait until z/OSMF 2.1 where it's expected to use the WAS Liberty Profile – potential for smaller footprint, better performance, and easier implementation





- My recommendation
  - Install PTFs for December 2012 enhancements; see WSC Flash10794 – IBM z/OSMF V1.13 Service Updates Available
  - Implement WLM first because it is very easy and very popular;
    - then software management because it's new information you haven't had:
    - then configuration assistant for TCP/IP because the download version is going away;
    - then incident log because it implements best practices and reduces sysprog time (even if it takes a little more setup);
    - then ISPF because it's fun!



### 40. Have you used CPU Measurement Facility (CPU MF)?



- 34% have used CPU MF
- Primary complaint haven't had time
- Primary misconception don't see a use
- References
  - SHARE SF session 13098, John Burg, CPU MF 2013 Update and WSC Experiences – Now More Than Ever
  - SHARE SF session 13097, John Burg, zPCR Capacity Sizing Lab – Part 1 of 2: Introduction and Overview



#### 40. Have you used CPU Measurement Facility (CPU MF)?



- Benefits
  - Provides much better data for determining LSPR workload
  - Helps zPCR provide better capacity estimates
- My recommendation
  - EVERYBODY needs to turn on the type 113 records
  - See John Burg's session for volunteering data



#### 7/8 – Have you implemented recommendations from ITSO?



- ITSO produces Redbooks www.redbooks.ibm.com
- Two were specifically written to reduce outages and mean time to recovery (MTTR):
  - SG24-7328-00 z/OS Planned Outage Avoidance
  - SG24-7816-00 Mean Time to Recovery (MTTR)
- Sadly, less than 50% of responders had tried any of these **Best Practices**
- Benefit More reliable and stable systems; less downtime; training in Best Practices





Misconception means someone had it wrong!





- 11. Common Information Model (CIM)
  - Only a few (< 5%) have implemented it for z/OSMF</li>
  - Misconception it's only needed for z/OSMF, but it's used for RMF, HTTP, Tivoli, and future products
- 12. Common Event Adapter (CEA)
  - Only a few (<12%) have implemented for z/OSMF</li>
  - Most people don't see a need; but it will be used for many new uses by IBM





- 13. SMF Logger
  - Less than 20% have implemented it
  - Misconception it requires a coupling facility, but it can use a DASD-only logger and still provide all of the benefits
- 14. SMF Record Flooding (z/OS 1.12)
  - Less than 4% have implemented it
  - Misconception we don't have a problem with record flooding (my comment – YET!)





- 19. Using both SYSLOG and OPERLOG
  - 51% have implemented both
  - Misconception it requires a coupling facility, but it can use a DASD-only logger and still provide all of the benefits
  - OPERLOG keeps recording after JES has shut down
  - zAware requires OPERLOG, but DASD-only is fine
- 22. BCPii
  - 34% have implemented it
  - Misconception don't have a need
  - Sysplex Failure Management (SFM) will exploit BCPii
  - More and more ISVs will be needing this feature





- 44. Using z/OS 1.12 Auto Reply
  - 26% have implemented it
  - Misconception it's not needed because auto ops product handles everything
  - This can be used BEFORE auto ops and MPF starts; it's complementary to the other facilities
  - IBM distributed PARMLIB has AUTOR00, which is used as a default; so you ARE using it on z/OS 1.12 and later



#### See You in **Boston!**





Cheryl Watson Walker with partner, husband, and best friend Tom Walker In Cuba in December (www.tomandcheryltravels.me)



- Email: technical@watsonwalker.com
- Website: www.watsonwalker.com

